UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,216	09/26/2005	Norio Murase	080308	5734
	7590 12/15/200 TOS & HANSON, LL	EXAMINER		
1420 K Street, I		HOBAN, MATTHEW E		
Suite 400 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/15/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

13. Other: ____.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/518,216	MURASE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Matthew E. Hoban	1793	

		Matthew E. Hoban	'''
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE F	REPLY FILED <u>25 November 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.
1. 🛛	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited al (with appeal fee) in compliance w	Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
_	for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	date of the final rejection. dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i	in the final rejection, whichever is later. In
Evtons	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(fisions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ').	FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO
have b under set for may re NOTIO	peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s th in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later educe any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). CE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as e of the final rejection, even if timely filed,
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wind NDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
3.	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered because
	(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor		
	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•	i L Below),
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying the issues for
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.
4. □	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. 🗖	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		(
6. 🔯			filed amendment canceling the non-
7. 🛛	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an explanation of
	Claim(s) allowed: <u>4</u> . Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3 and 5-8</u> .		
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>9-28</u> .		
	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	I sufficient reasons why the affidavi	it or other evidence is necessary and
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. 🗀	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation JEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		
	The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowance because:
	The arguments are not convincing as to the application		
	considered a solid. Arguments as to the testing method inherently be solid. In any event the arguments made as	and the process of making are not	convincing as the glasses made must
	purely assertive and neither definitive or proven. Application in the secondary reference of a feature makes	ant asserts that the silence of one re se the two references impossible to	eference as to a certain feature and combine. This is not convincing.
	Applicant goes on to argue that it is obvious the combine		
	obviousness of this has not been established, and quite view of Talapin are smaller than 3 nm, so applicants calc	culation of molarity is not commens	urate in scope with the prior art. The
40 F	arguments as to claims 1-3 and 5-8 are not convincing a	=	tne same grounds.
12. 🗀	Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (רו טיסטיט) Paper No(s)	

/C. Melissa Koslow/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20091209